

## Appendix A

Option no.	Proposal	Car Park	Pros	Cons
1a	Remove 1-hour free parking as detailed in Schedule 6 and replace with a reduced period of 30 minutes free parking. Addition of a tariff point with charge for 1-hour stays.	Manor Park Shepperton Laleham Village Hall Thames Street Sunbury Orchard Meadow Sunbury Walled Garden Sunbury Shepperton Village Hall	Increases turnover in high demand locations. Generates extra income. Encourage shorter stays and availability of spaces. Aligns with objectives to manage parking demand more actively. Council will achieve the additional income anticipated from these measures	Opposed during public consultation Potential negative impact on footfall and local businesses Displacement of parking on to surrounding residential streets
1b	Do nothing and retain the current one-hour nil tariff period.	Manor Park Shepperton Laleham Village Hall Thames Street Sunbury Orchard Meadow Sunbury Walled Garden Sunbury Shepperton Village Hall	Reflects consultation feedback. Encourages longer dwell time. Avoids increased on-street parking	Lower income Reduced parking turnover Does not address the concerns about long-stay parking in high demand car parks Council will not achieve the additional income anticipated from these measures
2a	Remove car parks from chargeable tariffs <b>Schedule 1</b> chargeable tariffs and addition of same car parks to <b>Schedule 3</b> Free parking places with 30 minutes maximum stay.	Abbey Drive Green St Dumsey Meadow Old Bathing Station	Prevent all day or overnight parking. Improves space availability. Reduces enforcement complexity.	Loss of parking income Risk of long stay and/or commuter parking Potential for reduced spaces during busy periods

2b	Remove car parks from chargeable tariffs <b>Schedule 1</b> chargeable tariffs and addition of same car parks to <b>Schedule 3</b> Free parking places with 11 hours maximum stay.	Abbey Drive Green St Dumsey Meadow Old Bathing Station	Strongly supports access to parks and riverside locations.  Encourages outdoor activity, health, and well-being.  Responds positively to consultation responses.  Reduces costs associated with managing the car park.  Improves access for families.	Requires monitoring and enforcement.
3a	Introduce a “no return within the same charging period”.	Those listed in <b>Schedule 1</b>	Prevent repeated short stays to avoid charges.  Improves space availability and turnover.  Common parking control mechanism.	May inconvenience legitimate users making multiple visits.
3b	Do nothing and do not introduce a “no return within the same charging period”.	Those listed in <b>Schedule 1</b>	Simple to administer.	Enables avoidance of charges.  Reduced effectiveness of parking controls  Less efficient use of available spaces
4	Revise the Parking Order map for Spelthorne Leisure Centre to reflect the new layout.	Spelthorne LC (old) Eclipse LC (new)	Enables accuracy and legal clarity within the parking order.  Refects current site layout and naming  Reduces the risk of enforcement challenges.  Administrative update with minimal impact on users	No direct operational or financial benefit  Minor cost associated with updating documentation
5	Introduce other minor amendments	<b>All schedules</b>	Improves clarity, consistency, and accuracy of the parking order.	Limited standalone impact

		<p>Revise wording for new “pay on foot system” .</p> <p>Enable use of virtual contract permits.</p> <p>Remove reference to season ticket no longer available.</p> <p>Clarify FOC motorbike parking in designated bays only.</p> <p>Revise wording re members and staff parking scheme.</p> <p>Redraft reference to the “Traffic Management Act” 2004.</p> <p>Update the latest debt registration fee cost.</p> <p>Remove reference to max charge of £1000 for film companies.</p>	<p>Addresses anomalies and update references.</p> <p>Reduces risk of misinterpretation or enforcement issues.</p> <p>Supports effective administration of parking services</p>	
6	Increase/reduce parking tariffs	<p>Staines upon Thames short and long stay car parks and add “per parking session”.</p>	<p>Increased income Benchmarking evidenced the need to increase some tariffs and reduce others to be comparative with other regional car parks</p> <p>Generate increased income</p>	<p>Minor cost associated with updating documentation</p>

## Appendix B

### Equality Impact Assessment (Summary)

#### Spelthorne Borough Council (Off-Street Parking Places Order – Amendment No. 3) Order 2026

#### Purpose

This Equality Impact Assessment considers the potential effects of the proposed amendments to the Off-Street Parking Places Order and ensures compliance with the Public Sector Equality Duty under Section 149 of the Equality Act 2010.

#### Potential Impacts

Consultation responses identified potential impacts particularly in relation to:

- **Disability** – Disabled users may require additional time to park and access facilities.
- **Age** – Older residents may need longer parking durations due to reduced mobility.

Reducing the nil tariff period may disproportionately affect those who require longer access time.

#### Mitigation

- Blue Badge holders are entitled to an additional one-hour free parking over and above their paid-for period, in accordance with current Council policy.
- Accessible parking bays will continue to be provided in line with statutory requirements.
- Consultation feedback has been considered in shaping the final proposals.
- Impacts will be monitored following implementation, with further review undertaken if disproportionate effects are identified.

#### Conclusion

The Council has had due regard to its duties under the Equality Act 2010. While some impacts have been identified, mitigation measures are in place to reduce disadvantage. The proposals seek to balance financial sustainability with accessibility and inclusion.